## DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORT Shire of Augusta Margaret River 24 February to 2 March 2022

## **APPLICATIONS RECEIVED**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal		
PLANNING					
24/02/2022	P222138	2 (Lot 48) Coronation Street, Margaret River	Holiday House (Renewal)		
24/02/2022	P222139	7 (Lot 209) Hermitage Drive, Margaret River	Holiday House (Renewal)		
24/02/2022	P222140	3 (Lot 6) Redgate Road, Witchcliffe	Small Bar (Change of Use from Café)		
25/02/2022	P222141	31 (Lot 65) Coracina Avenue, Witchcliffe	Single House (Existing Boundary Fence)		
25/02/2022	P222142	18 (Lot 377) Percheron Place, Margaret River	Ancillary Dwelling		
25/02/2022	P222143	211 (Lot 9007) Darch Road, Margaret River	Subdivision		
28/02/2022	P222144	12 (Lot 12) East Willmott Avenue, Margaret River	Holiday House Large (Renewal)		
01/03/2022	P222145	40 (Lot 83) Riedle Drive, Gnarabup	Single House (Fence Addition)		
01/03/2022	P222146	5 (Lot 7) Redgate Road, Witchcliffe	Ancillary Dwelling		
28/02/2022	P222148	20 (Lot 51) Tunbridge Street, Margaret River	Subdivision		
02/03/2022	P222149	6 (Lot 110) Papadakis Avenue, Prevelly	Holiday House (Renewal)		
02/03/2022	P222150	24 (Lot 214) Marmaduke Point Drive, Gnarabup	Holiday House		
BUILDING					
24/02/2022	222113	Lot 9 Waverley Road, Cowaramup	Single Dwelling, Garage and Patio		
24/02/2022	222114	30 (Lot 726) Burke Circle, Cowaramup	Shed		
24/02/2022	222116	10 (Lot 106) River Heights, Road Margaret River	Patio		
24/02/2022	222119	29 (Lot 65) Bovell Crescent, Augusta	Shed and Patio		
24/02/2022	222120	12 (Lot 201) Flitch Road, Karridale	Demolition of Existing Dwelling and Rainwater Tank		
25/02/2022	222121	11 (Lot 11) Lake View Crescent, Prevelly	Single Dwelling, Patio and Garage		
24/02/2022	222122	498 (Lot 2775) Rocky Road, Forest Grove	Farm Shed		
25/02/2022	222123	26 (Lot 300) Cusamo Retreat, Burnside	Single Dwelling, Garage, Rainwater Tank, Patio and Stone Pitching		
24/02/2022	222124	7 (Lot 112) Galliers Street, Gracetown	Retaining Wall		
25/02/2022	222125	19 (Lot 13) Tassel Road, Cowaramup	Shed		
25/02/2022	222126	Lot 1 Mentelle Road, Burnside	Single Dwelling, Rainwater Tank, Patio, Verandah, Carport and Retaining		
28/02/2022	222127	Lot 74 Colyer Drive, Hamelin Bay	Two Storey Dwelling, Upper Deck, Rainwater Tanks and Retaining Wall		
28/02/2022	222128	11 (Lot 6) Hardy Street, Augusta	Shed		
02/03/2022	222129	22 (Lot 72) Island Drive, Augusta	Shed and Carport		
02/03/2022	222132	Lot 9507 Palmer Road, Cowaramup	Retaining Walls for Subdivision (Symphony Waters Stage 4)		

## APPLICATIONS DETERMINED UNDER DELEGATION

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal	Outcome
PLANNING				
15/12/2021	P221964	306 (Lot 1) Low Road, Rosa Brook	Holiday House (Large)	Approved
17/12/2021	P221974	22 (Lot 72) Island Drive, Augusta	Dwelling (Outbuilding & Driveway Additions)	Approved

22/12/2021	P221977	33A (Lot 2) Grunters Way, Gnarabup	Grouped Dwelling	Approved
05/01/2022	P222007	6 (Lot 535) Bushland Rise, Margaret River	Holiday House (Renewal)	Approved
SUBDIVISION	IS			
18/01/2022	P222031	6 (Lot 323) Blackwood Avenue, Augusta	SURVEY STRATA (SUBDIVISION)	Supported subject to conditions
LOCAL LAW	PERMITS			
21/01/2022	P222050	Fearn Ave precinct, Margaret River	Renewal Local Law Permit to Busk - James Kain	Approved

### **LEVEL 3 PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal	Recommendation
PLANNING				
21/01/2022	P222045	18 (Lot 165) Mahogany Way, Cowaramup	Single Dwelling	Conditional approval is recommended.

#### **DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORTING PROCEDURE**

### **Assessment of Development Applications (DAs)**

For the purposes of this procedure there are three types of development applications:

#### Level 1

DA not advertised

#### Level 2

DA is advertised; and

- No submissions; or
- Submission received but meets one of the following:
  - o Not related to the reason the DA was advertised.
  - o The development is modified to comply or to remove the element of concern to the submitter.
  - o Submission is either of support, conditional support or is 'indifferent'; or is from a non-affected person.

### Level 3

A submission in opposition is received from an 'affected' person or special interest group in relation to the reason the DA is advertised or the development application is recommended for refusal.

**Note:** This procedure applies to development applications only. It does not apply to structure plans, scheme amendments or other types of planning proposals.



# **DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT Report to Manager Planning and Development Services**

Proposed Single Dwelling at 18 (Lot 165) Mahogany Way, Cowaramup

P222045; PTY/8536

(Level 3 - objection received)

Lina O'Halloran

REPORTING OFFICER
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST Nil

General Information						
Lot Area	597m <sup>2</sup>					
Zone	Residential R15					
Proposed Development	Planning approval is sought for a proposed single dwelling which presents variations to the rear and southern side setbacks.					
Permissible Use Class	'P' Permitted Use					
Heritage/Aboriginal Sites	None identified					
Encumbrance	Restrictive Covenant:					
	No reflective materials					
	No relocated buildings					
	<ul> <li>Clothes line, bins screened from public open space</li> </ul>					
	No antennae or satellite dish					
	Existing fences to be retained					
Date Received	21/01/2022					





Policy Requirements							
Is the land or proposal referred to i	n any Council Policy?	☐ Yes	√ No				
Structure Plans and Local Devel	opment Plans (LDP's)						
Is the land in any Structure Plan Ar	rea or subject to a LDP?	☐ Yes		√No			
Advertising/Agency Referrals							
1 1	referred to adjoining	√Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
landowners/agency?				LIN/A			
Has a submission been received b	y Council?	√Yes	□ No	□ N/A			
		No. received					
Details of Submission		Officer Com	nment				
1 x objection:		The followin	ng comm	ents are made in response to the			
Reducing the rear setback to 3m w	vill cause too much noise	concerns rais		ents are made in response to the			
to carry to our house. If there is a p				ing areas of the proposed dwelling are			
on the north-east side of the build				he front of the site, away from the			
noise.	<b>G</b> .			. The rear of the dwelling would be			
		occupied	by the r	master suite, which is not expected to			
				cessive noise or nuisance.			
				vises they have plans to develop a			
				e, however, this would be located			
				ving and dining areas of the dwelling toward the front of the site.			
				bond fence along the rear boundary			
				sual and noise barrier between the two			
		dwellings					
				to the 6m rear setback is not expected			
				ter noise impact than would otherwise			
				residential area. Par setback variation was approved for			
				property setting a precedent for the			
				osal would be in keeping with an			
				n of development for the surrounding			
		area.					
Assessment of Application	1 1 0		1 —				
Is the land referred in the Heritage		☐ Yes	√ No				
Are there any Contributions applications application and the compliance issues in	onmont?	☐ Yes	√ No				
R Codes	relation to existing devel	opment?	☐ Yes	√ No			
Are R Codes applicable?		√Yes		la .			
Design Element	Policy / R Codes	Provided	יו נו	Officer comment			
Front Setback	6m	4.45m		Complies with Clause C2.1 iii -			
				averaging shown on site plan			
Northern Side Setback	Length: 22.7m	7.85m		Complies			
	Height: 2.8m						
	MO: yes = 1.5m						
Southern Side Setback	Garage:						
	0m if behind street	0m not behir	nd	Variation			
	setback and abuts an	street setbac					
	existing boundary wall	not abutting					
		boundary wa	all				
	Dwelling:	1.5m					
	Height: 2.8m	1.0		Complies			
	Length: 17.2m			·			
	MO: yes						
Dani Cathari	= 1.5m	2		Variation			
Rear Setback Garage Setback	6m 4.5m	3m 4.75m		Variation Complies (minor projection of			
Garage Selback	4.5111	4.75111		boundary wall compliant)			
Driveway Width	6m	6m		Complies			
Garage Width	50%	33%		Complies			
Open Space Requirement	50%	>50%		Complies			
Upgrade Landscaping	☐ Required	√ Not Requ					
Overlooking	□ Yes √No						
		The site is largely flat with the proposed FFL a maximum of 0.3m above NGL					
Chroat aum (=!!!=====				HAXIIII OI O.OIII ADOVE NGL			
Street surveillance	□Yes	√	No	HAXIIIIIIII OI O.OIII ABOVC NGE			
Street Walls and Fences	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	√ √	No No	maximum of 0.3m above NGE			
Street Walls and Fences Overshadowing	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	√ √ √	No No No	TRAXITION OF STATE ABOVE NO.			
Street Walls and Fences Overshadowing Other Variations	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	\ \ \ \ \	No No	TRAXITION OF OUR ABOVE NO.			
Street Walls and Fences Overshadowing	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	\ \ \ \ \	No No No	TRAXITION OF OUR ABOVE NO.			

	<ul> <li>The proposed boundary wall would be located 4.85m from the front of the property. Due to its location and the orientation of the lot, the proposed boundary wall would overshadow a minor portion, within the front setback area, of the adjoining southern lot. The proposed wall is not considered to result in excessive overshadowing of adjoining Lot 166.</li> <li>The boundary wall would account for 6m of the total 31.7m southern side boundary and would not exceed 3m in height, thus not causing adverse impacts from building bulk to Lot 166.</li> <li>The boundary wall has no major openings and would not impact privacy for the occupants of the subject site or future occupants of Lot 166.</li> <li>The location of the wall built up to the boundary maximises the open space on the north-eastern side of the lot, making more effective use of space for the occupants.</li> <li>It is noted no objection was received from the affected neighbouring land owners.</li> <li>Rear Setback Variation:</li> <li>Only a small portion of the building would encroach into the rear setback area, approximately 25m² of the total 597m² site. This would account for approximately 22% of the entire rear setback area, with the remaining 78% of the rear setback as open space. The dwelling would be single storey thus there would be no significant building bulk impacts.</li> <li>The setback variation would not impact solar access or visual privacy for any surrounding lots or the subject site.</li> <li>The proposal would allow the occupants to maximise the extent of open space along the north-eastern side of the site.</li> <li>The proposal responds to the Design Principles of the RCodes and is recommended for approval.</li> </ul>				
<b>Development Standards (Schedule</b>	e 9)				
Are the development Standards app	icable?	☐ Yes		√No	
Car Parking					
LPS1 / R Codes Requirement	Car Bays Required - 2			Car Bays Proposed - 2	
Dimensions	2.5m x 5.5m		√ Cor	mplies   Doesn't Comply	
Turning Bay/Circles and vehicle manoeuvring	√ Complies	□ Doesn't (	Comply	<i>l</i>	
Building Height					
Scheme / Policy Requirement Wall - 7m Roof - 8m			m		

Development Standards (Schedule 9)							
Are the development Sta		Yes		√ No			
Car Parking							
LPS1 / R Codes Requirement Car			s Required	- 2		Car Bays Proposed - 2	
Dimensions		2.5m x 5	.5m		√ Cor	mplies ☐ Doesn't Comply	
Turning Bay/Circles an manoeuvring	nd vehicle	√ Compl	Complies   Doesn't Comply				
Building Height							
Scheme / Policy Require			Wall - 7m		Roof - 8	m	
State the proposed buildi	ing height	Wall – 2	.8m		1		
		D ( )	4		√ Complie	es Doesn't Comply	
01		Roof – 4	4m				
Clause 67	ioor would	approval 4	of the plans	oin a	concept by	a appropriate under Clause 67 of the Deemed	
Provisions of the Scheme		approvai (	or the plant	iirig	consent be	e appropriate under Clause 67 of the Deemed	
Officer Comment	Yes						
Cincor Commone	100						
In the opinion of the officer							
i. Are utility services available and			Yes- power	er, w	ater and se	ewer shown on survey plan	
adequate for the development?							
ii. Has adequate prov			N/A – vac	ant lo	ot		
the landscaping an							
trees or other veget iii. Has adequate prov			N/A				
access for the deve			IN/A				
by disabled persons		idollitics					
iv. Is development likely to cause detriment			No. The p	ropo	sal is asse	essed as compliant with the Design Principles of	
to the existing and likely future amenity			the RCode				
	of the neighbourhood?						
			Yes. A BAL report will be required with the building permit however is not				
AS3959 at the building permit stage? required at development application stage due to the size of the lot.							
Other Comments		41I'	4: 0				
Any further comments in Officer Comment				- th -	advarti-:-	as period are noted. The proposal has have	
Onicer Comment			eived during the advertising period are noted. The proposal has been onsidered that the variations meet the relevant design principles and are not				
						ttern of development in the area. Conditional	
		s recomme		Joidi	anoniou par	de de de la constitución de	

# OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Statutory Planning Coordinator GRANTS Planning Consent under Delegated Authority Instrument No. 34 pursuant to Clause 68(2) of the Deemed Provisions of Local Planning Scheme No. 1 for the proposed Single Dwelling at 18 (lot 165) Mahogany Way, Cowaramup subject to compliance with the following conditions:

#### **CONDITIONS**

1. The development is to be carried out in compliance with the plans and documentation listed below and endorsed with Council's stamp, except where amended by other conditions of this consent.

Plans and Specifications	P1 – P2 received at the Shire on 21 January 2022

- 2. If the development, the subject of this approval, is not substantially commenced within two (2) years from the date of this letter, the approval shall lapse and be of no further effect. Where an approval has lapsed, development is prohibited without further approval being obtained.
- 3. At all times, all stormwater and drainage run-off from the development shall be detained within the lot boundaries and managed to predevelopment flow regimes and/or disposed offsite by an approved connection to the Shire's drainage system in accordance with the Shire of Augusta Margaret River's Standards & Specifications.
- 4. The wall on the boundary shall be finished to the satisfaction of the adjoining landowner, or in the case of a dispute to the satisfaction of the Shire, prior to occupation.

#### **ADVICE NOTES**

- a) You are advised of the need to comply with the requirements of the following other legislation:
  - This is not a Building Permit. A Building Permit must be issued by the relevant Permit Authority before any work commences on site as per the *Building Act 2011*;
  - (ii) Health (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1911 and Department requirements in respect to the development and use of the premises; and
  - (iii) The Bush Fires Act 1954 as amended, Section 33(3), Annual Bush Fires Notice applies to this property.