# DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORT Shire of Augusta Margaret River 29 July - 4 August 2021

# **APPLICATIONS RECEIVED**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal
PLANNING	•		•
29/07/2021	P221525	54 (Lot 60) Victoria Parade,	Dwelling (Alterations & Additions)
		Augusta	
29/07/2021	P221527	133 (Lot 37) Blackwood Avenue,	Holiday House (Renewal)
		Augusta	
29/07/2021	P221528	Shop 6/2 (Lot 111) Andrews Way,	Small Bar (Alterations & Additions)
		Margaret River	
29/07/2021	P221529	29 (Lot 344) Honeysuckle Glen,	Bed & Breakfast (Renewal)
		Cowaramup	
02/08/2021	P221530	Lot 1 Mentelle Road, Burnside	Dwelling (Vegetation Clearing)
02/08/2021	P221531	1 (Lot 372) Storm Bay Road,	Holiday House (Renewal)
00/00/0004	B004500	Augusta	D III (4 D I A I III )
03/08/2021	P221532	10 (Lot 65) Thomasia Court,	Dwelling (1 x Bedroom Addition)
00/00/0004	D004500	Augusta	Out divining
03/08/2021	P221533	Lot 151 Caves Road, Margaret	Subdivision
DIIII DINO		River (Salmon Place)	
BUILDING	224500	44 (Lat 22) Tallyyand Lagr	Two Deinweter Tenks
30/07/2021	221508	11 (Lot 23) Tallwood Loop, Witchcliffe	Two Rainwater Tanks
30/07/2021	221509	25 (Lot 25) Mitchell Way, Prevelly	Retaining Walls, Cross Over and Driveway
30/07/2021	221510	410 Bussell Highway (Lot 54	Single Dwelling, Garage and Alfresco
		Hawkesford Lane), Margaret River	
30/07/2021	221511	255 (Lot 181) Kevill Road, Margaret	Alterations and Additions to Existing
		River	Structure - internal remodel and extension
30/07/2021	221512	480 (Lot 503) Ellen Brook Road,	Swimming Pool
		Cowaramup	
30/07/2021	221513	5B (Lot 8) Hall Road, Cowaramup	Single Dwelling
02/08/2021	221514	18 (Lot 36) Tallwood Loop, Witchcliffe	Shed
02/08/2021	221515	35 (Lot 33) Brookside Boulevard,	Shed
		Cowaramup	
02/08/2021	221516	35 (Lot 261) Culhane Road,	Two Storey Garage and Workshop
		Margaret River	
02/08/2021	221517	19 (Lot 602) Grosse Road, Hamelin	Single Dwelling and Rainwater Tanks
		Bay	
03/08/2021	221518	410 Bussell Highway (Lot 39 Pinot	Single Dwelling, Carport and Alfresco
		Way), Margaret River	
03/08/2021	221519	665 (Lot 3) Wirring Road,	Carport and Deck
		Cowaramup	

# **APPLICATIONS DETERMINED UNDER DELEGATION**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal	Outcome
PLANNING				
31/05/2021	P221389	65 (Lot 4) Turner Street, Augusta	Dwelling Additions	Approved
14/06/2021	P221411	Tenancies 1 and 2 of 111 (Lot 200) Bussell Highway, Margaret River	Cafe/Small Bar (Change of Use from Office)	Approved
21/06/2021	P221429	Lot 9014 MacLaren Crescent (John Archibald Drive), Margaret River	Proposed Local Development Plan	Approved
22/06/2021	P221433	5103 (Lot 201) Caves Road, Cowaramup	Rural Industry & Rural Produce Sales (Venison Pork Beef Sheep and Goat Produce Outlet)	Approved
23/06/2021	P221436	10347 (Lot 9001) Bussell Highway, Witchcliffe	Community Purpose (Community Hall)	Approved
30/06/2021	P221448	31 (Lot 225) Ironbark Avenue, Margaret River	Bed & Breakfast	Approved
07/07/2021	P221467	125 (Lot 1) Bussell Highway, Margaret River	Tavern (Signage)	Approved

13/07/2021	P221481	8 (Lot 257) Noreuil Circuit, Cowaramup	Dwelling Additions (Outbuilding)	Approved
16/07/2021	P221491	10402 (Lot 210) Bussell Highway, Witchcliffe	Small Bar	Approved
SUBDIVISION	NS			
15/06/2021	P221423	Lot 51 Waverley Road & Lot 304 Wirring Road, Cowaramup	Subdivision	Completed
16/06/2021	P221425	Lots 2283 & 2289 Jindong-Treeton Road, Treeton	Subdivision	Completed
LOCAL LAW	PERMITS			
Nil				

#### **LEVEL 3 PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal	Recommendation
PLANNING				
25/06/21	P221442	7 (Lot 306) Flora Grove, Molloy	Dwelling (Outbuilding	Conditional
		Island	Addition)	Approval

## **DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORTING PROCEDURE**

## **Assessment of Development Applications (DAs)**

For the purposes of this procedure there are three types of development applications:

#### Level 1

DA not advertised

#### Level 2

DA is advertised; and

- No submissions; or
- Submission received but meets one of the following:
  - Not related to the reason the DA was advertised.
  - The development is modified to comply or to remove the element of concern to the submitter.
  - o Submission is either of support, conditional support or is 'indifferent'; or is from a non-affected person.

#### Level 3

A submission in opposition is received from an 'affected' person or special interest group in relation to the reason the DA is advertised or the development application is recommended for refusal.

**Note:** This procedure applies to development applications only. It does not apply to structure plans, scheme amendments or other types of planning proposals.



# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT Report to Manager Planning and Development Services

Proposed Dwelling (Outbuilding Addition) 7 (Lot 306) Flora Grove, Molloy Island

Level 3 P221442; PTY/4902

REPORTING OFFICER : Lucy Gouws

DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST : Nil

General Information		
Lot Area	1432sqm	
Zone	R10/15	
Proposed Development	Planning approval is sought for a shed (outbuilding) with a total floor area of 36m² and a ridge height of 3.2m. The shed would be ancillary to an existing dwelling.	
	The shed is proposed to be located forward of the dwelling on site which varies the Acceptable Development standard AD1.4 of the Shire's Local Planning Policy 1.	
	The location of the shed also relies on the 30% variation to the front and side setback standard; a front setback of 10.5m is proposed in lieu of 15m and a side setback of 3.5 is proposed in lieu of 5m.	
Permissible Use Class	'P' Permitted Use	
Heritage/Aboriginal Sites	Registered Aboriginal Heritage Site. Proposal referred to DPLH. No	
_	approvals are required under the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 (AHA).	
Encumbrance	None affected.	
Date Received	25/06/2021	



Policy Requirements				
Is the land or proposal referred to in any Council Policy? ✓ Yes □ No				
If yes, state the Policy	If yes, state the Policy/Policies			
Officer Comment	shed is outbuildir shed is p The exist	The shed involves a variation to Acceptable Development standard AD1.4, given the shed is proposed to be located forward of the dwelling. AD1.4 provides that outbuildings should be located behind the front of an existing dwelling. In this case the shed is proposed to be located 10.5m from the road and 5m forward of the dwelling. The existing house is situated on the lot such that there is not sufficient space at the rear or side of the dwelling to accommodate a shed.		

The variation has been assessed against the Performance Criteria under LPP1 and whilst the shed is located forward of the dwelling, it has been found to be acceptable for the following reasons:

- the outbuilding will be screened by existing vegetation;
- the proposed front setback is consistent with the setback of the adjoining western property;
- the adjoining western property is also developed with a shed forward of the dwelling and with a similar front setback due to this dwelling being positioned at the rear of the site;
- the outbuilding is considered to be of a suitably small scale and finish to compliment the dwelling; and
- given the scale of the structure, it will not have an adverse impact upon neighbouring properties in terms of loss of privacy or loss of sunlight or ventilation

		ventilation. le proposal is uncharac	teristic in the	area	, the justification for the development
is noted and the proposal meets the relevant Performance Criteria.					
Structure Plans and Local Development Plans (LDP's)					
Is the land in any Struc	ture Plar	n Area or subject to a L	DP?	□ Ye	s √No
Advertising/Agency R					
Has the application	been r	eferred to adjoining			
landowners/agency?					
The application was		-formed to adjaining	☐ Yes	√N	lo
The application was landowners given the		, ,			
LPS1 for a 30% variation		•			
Has a submission been			√ Yes		lo 🔲 N/A
		<b>,</b>			1070
Dataila of Oubmission			No. receive		-4
Details of Submission	1		Officer Co	mmei	11
Molloy Home Owner's					
Confusion with the setb		•	•	•	n shows only a 30% variation is being
for. The MHOA still rais		-	_		ont and side setbacks as permitted
variations to the front a	nd side s	setback, however are			ning Scheme No. 1 (the Scheme). It
happy with the colour.			is noted that the Scheme allows for a 30% variation to		
Assessment of Annlie	ration		the setback	stan	dards.
Assessment of Application  Is the land referred in the Heritage Inventory?   □ Yes   √ No					es √No
I is the land referred in the	Is the land referred in the Heritage Inventory?			ΙШΙ	es <b>V</b> NO
		<u> </u>		ПУ	-/ No
Are there any Contribut	tions app	plicable?	to existing		•
	tions app	plicable?	to existing	□ Y	<u> </u>
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes	tions app pliance	plicable?	to existing		•
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?	tions app pliance	plicable?	√ Yes	ПΥ	res √ No
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable  Design Element	tions app pliance	issues in relation  Policy / R Codes	√ Yes Provided	ПΥ	res √ No  □ No  Officer comment
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable  Design Element Front Setback	tions app pliance	Policy / R Codes 15m (LPS1)	√Yes Provided 10.5m	ПΥ	No  Officer comment Complies with 30% variation
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable  Design Element	tions app pliance	issues in relation  Policy / R Codes	√ Yes Provided	ПΥ	res √ No  □ No  Officer comment
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable  Design Element Front Setback	tions app pliance	Policy / R Codes 15m (LPS1)	√Yes Provided 10.5m	ПΥ	No  Officer comment Complies with 30% variation
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable  Design Element  Front Setback  Sides Setback  Clause 67 In the opinion of the of	tions app pliance e?	Policy / R Codes 15m (LPS1) 5m (LPS1) ould approval of the plane	√Yes Provided 10.5m 3.5m	I	No  Officer comment Complies with 30% variation
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable Design Element Front Setback Sides Setback  Clause 67 In the opinion of the of Deemed Provisions of t	tions app pliance e? fficer, wo	Policy / R Codes 15m (LPS1) 5m (LPS1) ould approval of the plane.	√Yes Provided 10.5m 3.5m	☐ Y	res √No  □ No  Officer comment  Complies with 30% variation  Complies with 30% reduction  appropriate under Clause 67 of the
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable  Design Element  Front Setback  Sides Setback  Clause 67 In the opinion of the of	tions app pliance e? fficer, wo the Sche	Policy / R Codes 15m (LPS1) 5m (LPS1) ould approval of the plane.	√Yes Provided 10.5m 3.5m anning conse	□ Y	Tes √No  Officer comment Complies with 30% variation Complies with 30% reduction  appropriate under Clause 67 of the complies with the provisions of the
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable Design Element Front Setback Sides Setback  Clause 67 In the opinion of the of Deemed Provisions of t	fficer, wo	Policy / R Codes 15m (LPS1) 5m (LPS1) ould approval of the plane? g approval is recomme	√Yes Provided 10.5m 3.5m anning conse	□ Y	Tes √No  Officer comment Complies with 30% variation Complies with 30% reduction  appropriate under Clause 67 of the complies with the provisions of the
Are there any Contribute Are there any complete development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable  Design Element  Front Setback  Sides Setback  Clause 67 In the opinion of the off Deemed Provisions of the Officer Comment  In the opinion of the Officer Comment	fficer, wo the Scheme Scheme savail	Policy / R Codes 15m (LPS1) 5m (LPS1) ould approval of the plane? g approval is recommed for the Molloy Island Sable and Existing fo	√Yes Provided 10.5m 3.5m anning conse	ent be	Tes √No  Officer comment Complies with 30% variation Complies with 30% reduction  appropriate under Clause 67 of the complies with the provisions of the
Are there any Contribut Are there any com development?  R Codes Are R Codes applicable Design Element Front Setback Sides Setback  Clause 67 In the opinion of the of Deemed Provisions of t  Officer Comment  In the opinion of the of	fficer, wo the Scheme Scheme savail evelopm vision be	Policy / R Codes 15m (LPS1) 5m (LPS1)  ould approval of the plane? g approval is recomme a for the Molloy Island state and lent? een made The applications	√Yes Provided 10.5m 3.5m  anning consended. The shapecial Control r the dwelling	ent be	Tes √No  Officer comment Complies with 30% variation Complies with 30% reduction  appropriate under Clause 67 of the complies with the provisions of the

for any trees or other vegetation on the land?	and will not require excavation. The topsoil will be removed and clean compacted sand will be replaced.			
iii. Has adequate provision been made for access for the development or facilities by disabled persons?	n/a			
iv. Is development likely to cause detriment to the existing and likely future amenity of the neighbourhood?	None			
v. Is the development likely to comply with AS3959 at the building permit stage?	n/a			
Other Comments				
Any further comments in relation to the application?				
Officer Comment Planning approval	recommended.			

### OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Statutory Planning Coordinator GRANTS Planning Consent under Delegated Authority Instrument No. 16 pursuant to Clause 68(2) of the Deemed Provisions of Local Planning Scheme No. 1 for the proposed Dwelling (Outbuilding Addition) at 7 (Lot 306) Flora Grove, Molloy Island subject to compliance with the following conditions:

#### CONDITIONS

1. The development is to be carried out in compliance with the plans and documentation listed below and endorsed with Council's stamp, except where amended by other conditions of this consent.

P1 received at the Shire on the 3 August 2021 and P2 received the 25 June 2021

- 2. If the development, the subject of this approval, is not substantially commenced within two (2) years from the date of this letter, the approval shall lapse and be of no further effect. Where an approval has lapsed, development is prohibited without further approval being obtained.
- 3. At all times, all stormwater and drainage run-off from the development shall be detained within the lot boundaries and managed to predevelopment flow regimes.
- 4. The walls and roof of the outbuilding shall be clad in a non-reflective material and painted in a colour of natural or earth toning consistent with the existing landscape and existing development. To this end, reflective materials or reflective colours as cladding/external (including but not limited to) 'silver' sheeting painting white, cream, off white or pale grey are prohibited. Details to be submitted with the building permit.
- 5. The outbuilding shall be used solely for purposes incidental and ancillary to the authorised use of the land
- 6. The outbuilding shall not be used for human habitation.

## **ADVICE NOTES**

- a) You are advised of the need to comply with the requirements of the following other legislation:
  - This is not a Building Permit. A Building Permit must be issued by the relevant Permit Authority before any work commences on site as per the *Building Act 2011*;
  - (ii) Health (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1911 and Department requirements in respect to the development and use of the premises; and
  - (iii) The Bush Fires Act 1954 as amended, Section 33(3), Annual Bush Fires Notice applies to this property.