# DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORT Shire of Augusta Margaret River 14 September to 20 September 2023

# **APPLICATIONS RECEIVED**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal
PLANNING		•	
14/09/2023	P223582	Lot 661 Tanah Marah Road, Bramley	Intensive Agriculture (Vineyard)
14/09/2023	P223583	8087 (Lot 323) Bussell Highway, Cowaramup	Holiday House (Large)
14/09/2023	P223584	5 (Lot 20) Tallwood Loop, Witchcliffe	Outbuilding - Shed
15/09/2023	P223585	10 (Lot 840) Lorikeet Lane, Margaret, River	Single Dwelling
15/09/2023	P223586	14 (Lot 301) Lemon Gum Retreat, Margaret River	Amendment to Planning Approval P222818
15/09/2023	P223594	89 (Lot 47) Treeton Road, Cowaramup	Scheme Amendment No. 81 to Local Planning Scheme No. 1
18/09/2023	P223595	70 (Lot 18) Ashton Street, Margaret River	Cancellation of Holiday House
18/09/2023	P223596	49 (Lot 26) Mentelle Road, Burnside	Holiday House Renewal
19/09/2023	P223601	8 (Lot 272) Antina Ave, Witchcliffe	Outbuilding (Shed)
BUILDING			
19/09/2023	223449	7 (Lot 14) Sequoia Court, Margaret River	Shed
19/09/2023	223450	8 (Lot 253) Felling Road Karridale	Single Dwelling
19/09/2023	223451	48 (Lot 623) Surf break Drive, Cowaramup	Single Dwelling, Carport, Shed
20/09/2023	223452	41 (Lot 665) Ironbark Avenue Margaret River	Outbuilding (Replacement of Flat Patio)
20/09/2023	223453	Unit A, 168 (Lot 1) Kevill Road East Margaret River	Single Dwelling
<b>Exploration Lie</b>	censes for Comme	nt	
Nil			

# **APPLICATIONS DETERMINED UNDER DELEGATION**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal	Outcome
PLANNING				
19/08/2021	P221683	Lot 9000 Bussell Highway, Margaret River	Scheme Amendment No. 74 to Local Planning Scheme No. 1	Approved
04/07/2023	P223416	90 (Lot 6) Bussell Highway, Cowaramup	Built Stata	Approved
14/07/2023	P223439	74 (Lot 106) Woodland Drive, Burnside	2x Outbuildings and Development Outside of Building Envelope (Water Tank)	Approved
20/07/2023	P223448	Unit B/76 (Lot 2) Albany Terrace, Augusta	Group Dwelling	Approved
07/08/2023	P223496	33 (Lot 262) Culhane Road, Margaret River	Holiday House	Approved
08/08/2023	P223501	12 (Lot 93) Nebbiolo Place, Margaret River	Single Dwelling	Approved
SUBDIVISION	S			
Nil				
LOCAL LAW I	PERMITS			
Nil			·	

# **LEVEL 3 PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal	Recommendation
PLANNING				
15/06/2023	P223368	14 (Lot 57) Sheridan Road, Margaret River	Development outside of Building Envelope (Ancillary Dwelling)	Approval subject to conditions

# **DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORTING PROCEDURE**

# Assessment of Development Applications (DAs)

For the purposes of this procedure there are three types of development applications:

## Level 1

DA not advertised

## Level 2

DA is advertised; and

- No submissions; or
- Submission received but meets one of the following:
  - Not related to the reason the DA was advertised.
  - The development is modified to comply or to remove the element of concern to the submitter.
  - Submission is either of support, conditional support or is 'indifferent'; or is from a non-affected person.

## Level 3

A submission in opposition is received from an 'affected' person or special interest group in relation to the reason the DA is advertised or the development application is recommended for refusal.

**Note:** This procedure applies to development applications only. It does not apply to structure plans, scheme amendments or other types of planning proposals.



# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT Report to Manager Planning and Regulatory Services

Proposed Development outside of Building Envelope (Ancillary Dwelling) 14 (Lot 57) Sheridan Road, Margaret River

Level 3

P223368; PTY/7003

REPORTING OFFICER : Don Bothwell

DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST : N

General Information	
Lot Area	34782m <sup>2</sup>
Zone	Rural residential
Proposed Development  Planning approval is sought for an Ancillary Dwelling, Home Business (Ps Services), Carport and Outbuilding (Rainwater Tank). The application the following variations:	
	<ul> <li>21m in-lieu of 30m from the Ancillary Dwelling to the front lot boundary (Schedule 9 of LPS1);</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>16m in-lieu of 30m from the Rainwater Tank to the front lot boundary (Schedule 9 of LPS1); and</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Outbuilding (Rainwater Tank) not located behind the front of the dwelling and not within the prescribed building envelope (Clause AD1.10 of LPP1).</li> </ul>
	A 'Home Business' building and integrated carport is also proposed. One of the landowners is proposing to run a clinical psychology practice and has advised that they are seeking a place to work that is calm, quiet, and discrete. It was further advised that they have not found any suitable locations to rent in town and there house is not set up for home office, as such, they are proposing the annexe. The majority of the practice is proposed to be on-line via telehealth with appointments by booking only with a maximum of 2 clients attending the site per day and a maximum of 4 clients per week on weekdays between 9am and 3pm.
	<b>Note:</b> The application has been amended from a 'building envelope variation' to 'development outside the building envelope' specifically minimise the impact on large Marri trees within the existing building envelope. The proposal has been intentionally located in an area on the property where there is the least amount of existing vegetation.
Permissible Use Class	Ancillary Dwelling – 'P', Single Dwelling – 'P', Home Business – 'D'
Heritage/Aboriginal Sites	None identified.
Encumbrance	Nil
Date Received	15/06/2023





Policy Requirements			
	ferred to in any Council Policy?	√Yes □ No	
If yes, state the Policy/Po			
Officer Comment	The following variation is propose	d to LPP1:	
	(D : T		
		rated behind the front of the dwelling and not within the	
	prescribed building enve	lope (Clause AD1.10 of LPP1).	
	An assessment of the variation un	nder the acceptable standards is as follows:	
	All assessment of the variation of	idel the acceptable standards is as follows.	
	The location of the rainward	ater tank has been chosen to utilise degraded areas of the s	site
		o vegetation and to avoid the mature Marri trees located wit	
	the building envelope.		
		native vegetation surrounding the building envelope, screen	ing
	the site from views from		
Structure Plans and Lo	cal Development Plans (LDP's)		
Is the land in any Structu	re Plan Area or subject to a LDP?	□ Yes √ No	
Planning History			
<ul> <li>P28322 – Bed a</li> </ul>	nd Breakfast – Approved 9 Sep 20	08	
	ing and relocation of Building Envel	lope – Approved 4 Sep 1999	
Advertising/Agency Re			
Has the application landowners/agency?	been referred to adjoining	√Yes □ No □ N/A	
Has a submission been r	eceived by Council?	√Yes □ No □ N/A	
		No. received: One (1) submission	
Details of Submission		Officer Comment	
	of bushland is used by both red-	The landowners have given an undertaking to	
tailed and white	of bushland is used by both red- tailed cockatoos that feed in the	The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes.	on
		The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Black.	on ack
tailed and white		The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blaccockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each property.	on ack olus
tailed and white		The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blac Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each prinstallation. This would allow the owners to join the second seco	on ack olus the
tailed and white		The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each property installation. This would allow the owners to join statewide cockatoo monitoring program to monitoring	on ack olus the
tailed and white		The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blac Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each prinstallation. This would allow the owners to join the second seco	on ack olus the
tailed and white canopies.	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the	The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each property installation. This would allow the owners to join statewide cockatoo monitoring program to monitoring	on ack olus the iitor
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been		The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each property installation. This would allow the owners to join statewide cockatoo monitoring program to monitheir use.  The current landowners have advised that they did remove some trees to help manage safety a	on ack olus the nitor
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blacockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each property installation. This would allow the owners to join statewide cockatoo monitoring program to monitheir use.  The current landowners have advised that they did remove some trees to help manage safety a fire risk. They advised that during winter last years.	on ack blus the iitor and ar,
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blacockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each property installation. This would allow the owners to join statewide cockatoo monitoring program to monitheir use.  The current landowners have advised that they did remove some trees to help manage safety a fire risk. They advised that during winter last year two Jarrah trees in the raised sandy area at the	on ack blus the iitor and ar,
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blacockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each property installation. This would allow the owners to join statewide cockatoo monitoring program to monitheir use.</li> <li>The current landowners have advised that they did remove some trees to help manage safety a fire risk. They advised that during winter last year two Jarrah trees in the raised sandy area at the top of the lot were partially uprooted by high</li> </ul>	on ack olus the nitor and ar,
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blac Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at a cost of \$500 eac</li></ul>	s on ack olus the aitor
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blac Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at each project at the owners to join the statewise use.</li> <li>The current landowners have advised that they did remove some trees to help manage safety a fire risk. They advised that during winter last year two Jarrah trees in the raised sandy area at the top of the lot were partially uprooted by high winds. They were removed due to safety concerns they were not stable, and it was immanent the</li> </ul>	s on ack olus the aitor
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blac Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at each project at the open installation. This would allow the owners to join the first use.</li> <li>The current landowners have advised that they did remove some trees to help manage safety a fire risk. They advised that during winter last year two Jarrah trees in the raised sandy area at the top of the lot were partially uprooted by high winds. They were removed due to safety concerns they were not stable, and it was immanent the they would fall. Whilst removing the two (2)</li> </ul>	s on ack blus the aitor
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Blac Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at a cost of \$500 eac</li></ul>	s on ack blus the aitor and ar, erns nat
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at a cost of \$500 each</li></ul>	s on ack blus the aitor and ar, erns nat
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at a cost of \$500 each</li></ul>	s on ack blus the aitor and ar, trns nat
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at a cost of \$500 each</li></ul>	s on ack blus the littor and ar, arms hat
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at a cost of \$500 each</li></ul>	s on ack blus the littor and ar, arms nat
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each pro</li></ul>	s on ack blus the blus the aitor and ar, the rns hat lides if
tailed and white canopies.  • There has been	-tailed cockatoos that feed in the unauthorised clearing of native	<ul> <li>The landowners have given an undertaking they would install three (3) cockatoo nest boxes the property, purchasing these from the Bla Cockatoo Project at a cost of \$500 each project at a cost of \$500 each</li></ul>	and ar, erns at I d

- We wouldn't object to the proposed building placed in the building envelope, which seems to have space.
- We object to the proposed development which has a large footprint adding a new building envelope requiring removal of old trees.
- We believe the building envelopes for protection of native vegetation should be maintained.

- landowners that they take great care to manage the fire risk by maintaining the lot well, including conservative mosaic fuel reduction and firebreak notice.
- Based on advice from the Shire, to reduce the impact on significant vegetation the landowners have purposely proposed development outside the building envelope to specifically minimise the impact on large Marri trees within the existing building envelope. The proposal has been intentionally located in an area on the property where there is the least amount of existing vegetation.
- Please see response above.
- Noted. The landowners have indicated that the proposed Ancillary Dwelling is needed to provide accommodation for elderly relatives and office space. The proposal is considered to achieve the best outcome in relation to minimise the loss of vegetation and the natural values of the property.

## **Internal Department Comments**

## Sustainability Services

- Clearing appears to have been undertaken without prior approval, and within side/front boundary setbacks.
- Area m² of existing BE to be provided.
- Discuss what to do about clearing outside of BE for future development. Either refuse development, or request rehabilitation plan (either for the area which has been cleared or for other degraded areas across the site.

Officer Comments

 The applicant has submitted the following rehabilitation plan for the site:





- Control and removal of Arum Lily and Sydney Golden Wattle on 14 Sheridan Road.
- Existing Building Envelope 2,332.81m². No change.
  to reduce the impact on significant vegetation the
  landowners have purposely proposed development
  outside the building envelope to specifically minimise
  the impact on large Marri trees within our existing
  building envelope. The proposal has been intentionally
  located in an area on the property where there is the
  least amount of existing vegetation.

The issue with extending the building envelope to accommodate these new structures is that vegetation between the main dwelling and ancillary would be included in the updated envelope. Vegetation within a building envelope does not require planning approval for removal, therefore this could inadvertently lead to more trees being removed in the future. These exemptions do not apply to trees located outside the building envelope.

Assessment of Application	
Is the land referred in the Heritage Inventory?	☐ Yes √ No
Are there any Contributions applicable?	☐ Yes √ No

Are there any compliance issues in relation to existing development? ☐ Yes √ No						
R Codes						
Are R Codes applicable? $\square$ Yes $\sqrt{No}$						
Development Standards (Schedule 9)						
Are the development Sta	ndards appl	icable?	√Yes	3		∃ No
Officer Comment	The followi	ing variatio	ons are propos	sed to Sched	lule 9:	
		1m in-lieu ( PS1).	of 30m from tl	ne Ancillary [	Dwelling to	the front lot boundary (Schedule 9 of
	• 10	6m in-lieu	of 30m from tl	ne Rainwate	r Tank to th	ne front lot boundary (Schedule 9 of
		PS1).				
						supported for the following reasons:
	so	creening th	ne site from vi			the proposed development area Road and the adjoining and
		-	properties.	sed develonr	ment has h	een chosen by the landowners to
	ut	tilise degra	aded areas of	the site, mini	imising dist	turbance to vegetation and to avoid
						g building envelope. office and water tank are reasonably
	gı	rouped tog	ether with the	existing ma	in dwelling	
			ared to other l			naining on the balance of the site cality.
Car Darking						
Car Parking LPS1 / R Codes Require	ment	Car Bay	s Required – :	2 additional	Car Bay	s Proposed – Provision of 2 additional
El 01710 Godes Require	ment	car bays	s required for and Home Bu	r Ancillary	car bays	proposed in the carport integrated the Ancillary Dwelling and the office.
Home Business						
The proposed use of a H in applying discretion. Th						ause 5.8 is considered in this instance
a) The nature of the pro	oposal					
a) The number of empl	oyees or oth		to be employe	d or engage	d in the use	e of the land
<ul><li>b) Anticipated demand</li><li>c) Orderly, proper and</li></ul>			of the area			
,						
<ul><li>Parking</li><li>Only 1 customer is e</li></ul>	expected at t	he home b	ousiness at an	y one time.		
Only a maximum of :				,		
There are no employees at the business who do not reside at the dwelling.						
Given these conside						
Amenity The days of an areti						
<ul> <li>The days of operation residents.</li> </ul>	on are won	day to Fri	day. This is s	salistactory a	as not to ir	npact on the amenity of surrounding
<ul> <li>The hours of operation</li> </ul>	on on the ab	ove days	are proposed	to be from 9	am-3pm. T	his is satisfactory not to impact on the
amenity of surroundi	ing residents	S.			·	
Building Height						
Scheme / Policy Requirement Wall – 6.0m Roof – 9.0m						
State the proposed buildi		Wall – 3.				
		D ( 5	540	√ Complie	es □I	Doesn't Comply
Clause 67		Roof – 5	0.510m			
	icer would	annroval d	of the plannin	a consent h	e annronri	ate under Clause 67 of the Deemed
In the opinion of the officer, would approval of the planning consent be appropriate under Clause 67 of the Deemed Provisions of the Scheme?						
Officer Comment  Yes. The application has been amended from a 'building envelope variation' to 'development						
						pact on large Marri trees within the
existing building envelope. The proposal has been intentionally located in an area on the						
	property where there is the least amount of existing vegetation.					ation.
In the opinion of the off	ficer					
i. Are utility service		ole and	Yes.			
adequate for the de	velopment?					
ii. Has adequate prov			Yes.	·		
the landscaping an						
trees or other veget iii. Has adequate prov			N/A			
iii. Has adequate prov access for the deve			IN/A			
	by disabled persons?					

iv.	Is development likely to cause detriment		No.	
	to the existing and likely future amenity			
	of the neighbourhood?			
٧.	v. Is the development likely to comply with		Yes. The proposal achieves a BAL-29 rating with no further vegetation	
	AS3959 at the building permit stage?		modification.	
Ot	Other Comments			
An	Any further comments in relation to the application?			
Of	ficer Comment	Conditional approval recommended.		
		Constitution approved to the constitution and the constitution and the constitution are constitution are constitution are constitution and the constitution are constitution and constitution are constitution are constitution are constitution are constitution ar		

#### OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Coordinator Planning Services Grant Planning Consent under Delegated Authority Instrument No. 34 pursuant to Clause 68(2) of the Deemed Provisions of Local Planning Scheme No. 1 for the proposed Development outside of Building Envelope (Ancillary Dwelling) at 14 (Lot 57) Sheridan Road, Margaret River subject to compliance with the following conditions:

# **CONDITIONS**

The development is to be carried out in compliance with the plans and documentation listed below and endorsed with Council's stamp, except where amended by other conditions of this consent.

Plans and Specifications	P1 – P15 received by the Shire on 12 July 2023
-----------------------------	--

- 2. If the development, the subject of this approval, is not substantially commenced within two (2) years from the date of this letter, the approval shall lapse and be of no further effect. Where an approval has lapsed, development is prohibited without further approval being obtained.
- 3. During construction, areas of native vegetation must not be damaged by any works including the placement of fill, rubble, rubbish or any other material, nor is any removal, clearing or damage to occur to any vegetation.
- 4. When undertaking any clearing, revegetation and rehabilitation, the Proponent shall take the following steps to minimise the risk of introduction and spread of dieback/weeds:
  - a) clean earth-moving machinery of soil and vegetation prior to entering and leaving the area to be cleared;
  - a) avoid the movement of soil in wet conditions;
  - b) ensure that no dieback-affected materials are brought into an area that is not affected by dieback; and
  - c) restrict the movement of machines and other vehicles to the limits of the areas to be cleared.
- 5. This approval does not permit short stay use of the Ancillary Dwelling at any time. Pursuant to the Shire's *Local Planning Policy 7 Short Stay Accommodation*, the Ancillary Dwelling shall only be used for permanent accommodation.
- 6. At all times, all stormwater and drainage run-off from the development shall be detained within the lot boundaries and managed to predevelopment flow regimes and/or disposed offsite by an approved connection to the Shire's drainage system in accordance with the Shire of Augusta Margaret River's Standards & Specifications.
- 7. The Home Business is permitted to operate Monday to Friday from 9:00am until 4:00pm.
- 8. The Home Business shall not involve the retail sale, hire or display of goods.
- 9. All vehicles connected with the Home Business shall be parked within the boundaries of the property.
- 10. Prior to lodging of a building permit application a rehabilitation plan shall be prepared and submitted to the Shire by a suitably qualified and/or experienced consultant for approval.
- 11. Rehabilitation shall be implemented, in accordance with the approved rehabilitation plan, prior to occupation/use of the development and shall be maintained at all times.

## **ADVICE NOTES**

- a) You are advised of the need to comply with the requirements of the following other legislation:
  - This is not a Building Permit. A Building Permit must be issued by the relevant Permit Authority before any work commences on site as per the *Building Act 2011*;
  - (ii) Health (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1911 and Department requirements in respect to the development and use of the premises; and
  - (iii) The Bush Fires Act 1954 as amended, Section 33(3), Annual Bush Fires Notice applies to this property.