# DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORT Shire of Augusta Margaret River 30 March to 5 April 2023

## **APPLICATIONS RECEIVED**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal
PLANNING			
30/03/2023	P223210	14-16 (Lot 596 & 597) Brindley Street, Augusta	Shed Extension
30/03/2023	P223211	53 (Lot 722) Trinder Drive, Margaret River	Rural Produce Sales
30/03/2023	P223212	3 (Lot 559) Nuytsia Close, Margaret River	Single Dwelling (Variation to front setback for Outbuilding)
04/04/2023	P223213	6A (Lot 103) Brady Street, Augusta	Single Dwelling
04/04/2023	P223214	29 (Lot 28) Pierce Road, Margaret River	Single Dwelling
04/04/2023	P223215	Unit 4/90 (Strata Lot 4 of Lot 101) Cowaramup Bay Road, Cowaramup	Single Dwelling (Side setback variation)
04/04/2023	P223216	106 (Lot 63) Kevill Road East, Margaret River	Boundary Fence
05/04/2023	P223217	75 (Lot 24) Forrest Road, Margaret River	Home Business (Nail Salon)
05/04/2023	P223218	54 (Lot 4) Wallcliffe Rd, Margaret River	Guesthouse (Alterations and Additions)
BUILDING			
30/03/2023	223149	43 (Lot 902) Thomson Road, Bramley	Farm Shed
03/04/2023	223150	14 (Lot 301) Lemon Gum Retreat, Margaret River	Earthworks, Sand Pad and Associated Works
03/04/2023	223151	10 (Lot 120) Lesueur Place, Gnarabup	Shed
03/04/2023	223152	Lot 1005 Hawkesford Place, Margaret River Lifestyle Village	Retaining Walls (Stage 4b)
04/04/2023	223153	5624 (Lot 57) Caves Road, Burnside	Single Dwelling, Garage, Patios and Rainwater Tanks
04/04/2023	223154	41 (Lot 9) Saint Alouarn Place, Margaret River	Alterations and Additions to Existing Chalet - Two Storey Addition Verandah and Internal Works, Retaining Walls and New Chalet and Verandah
04/04/2023	223155	10 (Lot 252) Felling Road, Karridale	Single Dwelling, Patio, Pergola and Rainwater Tank
04/04/2023	223156	18 (Lot 1) Goodenia Street, Margaret River	Patio
05/04/2023	223157	R41545 Reserve Mitchell Drive Prevelly (Rifle Butts POS)	Gazebo
05/04/2023	223158	27-33 (Lot 295) Tunbridge Street, Margaret River (Federation Garden - Margaret River Library R41625)	Gazebo
05/04/2023	223159	18 (Lot 269) Coracina Avenue, Witchcliffe	Shed and Carport x2
05/04/2023	223160	4 (Lot 47) Coronation Street, Margaret River	Reroof of existing dwelling
05/04/2023	223161	11 (Lot 6) Tyrone Loop, Margaret River	Swimming Pool
05/04/2023	223162	49 (Lot 25) Culhane Road. Margaret River	Shed
05/04/2023	223163	Unit 3/69 (Lot 1) Bussell Highway, Cowaramup (Candy Cow)	Patio (Undercover Walkway)
Exploration Lic	enses for Comme	nt	

# APPLICATIONS DETERMINED UNDER DELEGATION

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal	Outcome
PLANNING				

21/09/2021	P221666	5103 (Lot 201, 202 & 203) Caves Road Cowaramup	Animal Husbandry (Rotational Outdoor Piggery)	Approved
02/02/2023	P223073	27-33 (Lot 295) Tunbridge Street, Margaret River	Community Purpose (Alterations - Installation of Wall and Sliding Door)	Approved
10/02/2023	P223093	7046 (Lot 417) Caves Road, Redgate	Dam Expansion	Approved
22/02/2023	P223117	11 (Lot 200) Magnolia Court, Cowaramup	Single Dwelling (Fence Addition)	Approved
03/03/2023	P223133	9834 (Lot 520) Bussell Hwy, Margaret River	Market Garden (Agriculture Intensive)	Approved
14/03/2023	P223156	119 (Lot 19) Rowe Road West, Witchcliffe	Development Outside of Building Envelope (Water Tank)	Approved
SUBDIVISION	S			
Nil	DEDMITE			
28/03/2023	P223209	Portion of recorns 41545	Local Law Permit extension -	Approved
20/03/2023	F2232U9	Portion of reserve 41545, Rivermouth Carpark, Rivermouth Road, Prevelly	Combi Coffee extend to 19 April	Approved
24/02/2023	P223131	Flinders Bay, Reserve 24653, Davies Road, Augusta	EOI Permit to Operate Mobile food Business - Little Hand Dumpling House	Approved

#### **LEVEL 3 PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION**

Date Rec'd	Reference No.	Address	Proposal	Recommendation
PLANNING				
22/02/2023	P223114	19 (213) Villers Street, Cowaramup	Single Dwelling (Outbuilding)	Approved subject to conditions

### **DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT REPORTING PROCEDURE**

#### Assessment of Development Applications (DAs)

For the purposes of this procedure there are three types of development applications:

#### Level 1

DA not advertised

## Level 2

DA is advertised; and

- No submissions; or
- Submission received but meets one of the following:
  - o Not related to the reason the DA was advertised.
  - o The development is modified to comply or to remove the element of concern to the submitter.
  - o Submission is either of support, conditional support or is 'indifferent'; or is from a non-affected person.

#### Level 3

A submission in opposition is received from an 'affected' person or special interest group in relation to the reason the DA is advertised or the development application is recommended for refusal.

**Note:** This procedure applies to development applications only. It does not apply to structure plans, scheme amendments or other types of planning proposals.



# **DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT Report to Manager Planning and Regulatory Services**

Proposed Single Dwelling (Outbuilding) 19 (213) Villers Street, Cowaramup

Major (Level 3 - 1x objection)

P223114; PTY/10780

REPORTING OFFICER
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST **Harriet Park** 

Nil

General Information	
Lot Area	630m2
Zone	Residential R15
Proposed Development	<ul> <li>Planning approval is sought for a proposed outbuilding as follows:</li> <li>8m x 3m = 24m2</li> <li>Southern (rear) setback: 0.4m</li> <li>Eastern (side) setback: 0.5m</li> <li>Clad in Colorbond Woodland Grey</li> <li>Outbuilding to replace existing garden sheds at the south eastern corner of the property.</li> <li>Cumulative outbuilding total on the site = 50.35m2</li> </ul>
	Outbuilding proposes southern and eastern lot boundary setback variations.
Permissible Use Class	'P' Permitted - ancillary to residential use
Heritage/Aboriginal Sites	None identified
Encumbrance	N/A
Date Received	22/02/2023



Policy Requirements				
Is the land or proposal re	eferred to in any Co	ouncil Policy?	√Yes □ No	
If yes, state the Policy/Po	olicies Loca	l Planning Policy 1 –	Outbuildings, Farm Building	gs and Swimming Pools (LPP1)
Officer Comment	The proposal is a	assessed against the	Acceptable Development	criteria of LPP1 as follows:
	Provisions	Required	Proposed	Comment
	Floor area	60m2	24m2	complies

			cumulativ	e outbuilding	
	<b>NA</b> (	0.4	area for th		P
	Wall height	3.1m	2.7m + 0. 2.8m	•	complies
	Roof ridge	4.2m	3.065m	.1m pad =	complies
	Side setback Rear setback	1m 1m	0.5m (eas 0.4m (sou		Variation Variation
	Location	Behind the front		stern corner of	complies
		of an existing	property		
Otros tros Diagrams and Lan	al Daniela anno an	dwelling			
Is the land in any Structure			□ Ye	s √No	
Is the land in any Structur			u re √Yes		
Is the land in any Structur					
If yes, state the Policy/Pol	licies SPA		Country Structu		101 & 102 Bussell Highway –
		•		ge 1 Lot 101 B	ussell Highway Cowaramup
Officer Comment	ado	oted by the Shire of ified for Residentia	n 25/6/2009.		
	No other releva		ii K 13 develop	ent.	
Planning History Building Approvals					
BLD216445 - Patio & She	ed - Approved on	19/10/2016. Shed	area = 26.35r	n2 (6.88m x 3.8	33m)
BLD210022 – Dwelling –				(1.11.	
Planning Approvals					
P216462 - Dwelling Additi	ions (Outbuilding	(Detached Garag	e) & Patio) – A	pproved on 5/1	0/2016
Advertising/Agency Ref	errals				
Has the application	been referred	l to adjoining	√Yes	□No	□ N/A
landowners/agency?					were provided the opportunity
Has a submission been re	eceived by Coun	cil?	√ Yes	on the propose  ☐ No	d variations to the proposal.  □ N/A
	•				<b>—</b> · · · · ·
Dataile of Outersianian			No. received		
Details of Submission			Officer Com		
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour ha construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be construct materials.	ling the size and s concerns reg. I the shed (colo is within the 1m ed from fire reta	arding the rbond) and side and rear	The commer submitter ra which are submitter of variations. To constructed matter is not The applicant the neighbous storage only address is not It should be resulted.	ment  Ints of the neight ises concerns compliant through the look of the look	fied of the concerns raised by rmed that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site
Submission 1 - Objection Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour has construction material of wishes for the shed walk setback to be constructed.	ling the size and s concerns reg. I the shed (colo is within the 1m ed from fire reta	arding the rbond) and side and rear	The commer submitter ra which are submitter of variations. To constructed matter is not The applicanthe neighbous storage only address is not It should be resimilar distart of this application.	ment  Ints of the neight ises concerns compliant through the look of the look	with aspects of this proposary ugh the planning framework lifess the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be adant materials, therefore, this addressed. Field of the concerns raised by remed that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.
Submission 1 - Objection Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour has construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be constructed materials.  Submission 2 - Support Assessment of Applicated	ling the size and s concerns reg. the shed (colo is within the 1m ed from fire retal	arding the rbond) and side and rear ardant	The commer submitter ra which are submitter of variations. To constructed matter is not The applicanthe neighbous storage only address is not It should be resimilar distart of this application.	ment  Ints of the neiging ises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant of the compliant of the compliant poses little of the compliant o	with aspects of this proposa ugh the planning framework lress the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be dant materials, therefore, this addressed. fied of the concerns raised by rmed that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area. hed is proposed to be setback a ng shed being replaced as par
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour har construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be constructed materials.  Submission 2 - Support  Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the	ling the size and s concerns reg. If the shed (colors within the 1m ed from fire retained).	arding the rbond) and side and rear ardant	The commer submitter ra which are submitter of variations. To constructed matter is not The applicanthe neighbous storage only address is not It should be resimilar distart of this application.	ment  Ints of the neiging ises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant is not additionally a compliant of the complex content in a been not in a bushfire content that the succession in a complex content in a content	with aspects of this proposa ugh the planning framework lress the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be dant materials, therefore, this addressed. Field of the concerns raised by rmed that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  The dis proposed to be setback and shed being replaced as particularly.
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour har construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be constructed materials.  Submission 2 - Support Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contribution	ing the size and s concerns reg. If the shed (colors within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire from from fire from from from from from from from from	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter rawhich are constructed matter is not The applicant the neighbous storage only address is not It should be raimilar distart of this applicant Noted.	ment  Ints of the neiging ises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant is not a down the configured to be at the configure of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configure of the configuration of the con	with aspects of this proposa ugh the planning framework lress the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be dant materials, therefore, this addressed. Field of the concerns raised by rmed that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  hed is proposed to be setback and shed being replaced as parent with the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour ha construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be construct materials.  Submission 2 - Support  Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contribution Are there any compliance	ing the size and s concerns reg. If the shed (colors within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire from from fire from from from from from from from from	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter rawhich are constructed matter is not The applicant the neighbous storage only address is not It should be raimilar distart of this applicant Noted.	ment  Ints of the neiging ises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant is not additionally a compliant of the complex content in a been not in a bushfire content that the succession in a complex content in a content	with aspects of this proposa ugh the planning framework lress the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be dant materials, therefore, this addressed. Field of the concerns raised by rmed that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  The dis proposed to be setback and shed being replaced as particularly.
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour har construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be constructed materials.  Submission 2 - Support Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contribution	ing the size and s concerns reg. If the shed (colors within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire from from fire from from from from from from from from	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter rawhich are constructed matter is not The applicant the neighbous storage only address is not It should be raimilar distart of this applicant Noted.	ment  Ints of the neiging ises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant is not a down the configured to be at the configure of the configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the configure of the configuration of the con	with aspects of this proposa ugh the planning framework lress the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be dant materials, therefore, this addressed. Field of the concerns raised by rmed that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  hed is proposed to be setback and shed being replaced as parent with the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour ha construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be construct materials.  Submission 2 - Support  Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contributior Are there any compliance R Codes Are R Codes applicable? Design Element	ing the size and s concerns reg. It the shed (color is within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire retained in the shed is size in relations.	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter of variations. To constructed matter is not the applicant the neighboustorage only address is not this applicant the neighboustorage only address. Noted.	ment  Ints of the neightises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant through the compliant of the comp	with aspects of this proposary ugh the planning framework lives the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be addressed. From the concerns raised by red that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  The dis proposed to be setback and shed being replaced as particularly No √No √No
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour ha construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be construct materials.  Submission 2 - Support  Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contribution Are there any compliance R Codes Are R Codes applicable?  Design Element Front Setback	ing the size and s concerns reg. It the shed (color is within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire retained in the shed is size in relations.	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant ardant ary?	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter of variations. Constructed matter is not The applicanthe neighboustorage only address is not the similar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage.  It should be resimilar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage only address. Noted.	ment  Ints of the neightises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant through the compliant of the comp	with aspects of this proposary ugh the planning framework lives the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be reduirement that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  The disproposed to be setback and shed being replaced as particular to No Vino Vino Vino Vino Vino Vino Vino Vin
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour ha construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be construct materials.  Submission 2 - Support  Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contribution Are there any compliance  R Codes Are R Codes applicable?  Design Element Front Setback Sides Setback	ing the size and s concerns reg. It the shed (color is within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire retained in the shed is size in relations.	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant ardant ary?	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter of variations. Constructed matter is not The applicanthe neighboustorage only address is not the similar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage.  It should be resimilar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage only address. Noted.	ment  Ints of the neight ises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant through the compliant is and has configured to be at the compliant in a bushfire content that the substitution is and poses little out in a bushfire content that the substitution.  In yes I	with aspects of this proposary ugh the planning framework lives the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be requirement for sheds to be reduced and materials, therefore, this reduced addressed. Find the concerns raised by reduced that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  The disproposed to be setback and shed being replaced as particularly No √No √No √No √No √No √No √No √No √No
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour has construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be construct materials.  Submission 2 - Support  Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contribution Are there any compliance R Codes Are R Codes applicable?  Design Element Front Setback Sides Setback Rear Setback	ing the size and s concerns reg. It the shed (color is within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire retained from fire retained is applicable?  Police Police	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant ardant ary?	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter of variations. Constructed matter is not The applicanthe neighboustorage only address is not the similar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage.  It should be resimilar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage only address. Noted.	ment  Ints of the neightises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant through the compliant of the compliant that the compli	with aspects of this proposary ugh the planning framework lives the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be requirement for sheds to be reduced and materials, therefore, this reduced and the concerns raised by reduced that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  The disproposed to be setback and shed being replaced as particularly No √No √No √No comment ired for this development ired for this developm
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour has construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be construct materials.  Submission 2 - Support  Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contribution Are there any compliance R Codes Are R Codes applicable?  Design Element Front Setback Sides Setback Rear Setback Secondary Street Setback Garage/Carport Setback	ing the size and s concerns reg. It the shed (color is within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire retained from fire retained in the sapplicable?  I ion Heritage Inventors applicable?  I issues in relationed in the sapplicable is sues in relationed in the sapplicable in the sapplicable is sues in the sapplicable in the sapplicable in the sapplicable is sues in the sapplicable in	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant ardant ary?	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter of variations. Constructed matter is not The applicanthe neighboustorage only address is not the similar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage.  It should be resimilar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage only address. Noted.	ment  Ints of the neightises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant through the compliant required to be at the compliant and has configured that the substitution of the compliant that the substitution of the compliant that the complian	with aspects of this proposary up the planning framework tress the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be reduirement that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  The disproposed to be setback and shed being replaced as particle for this development fired for this development
Submission 1 - Objectio Raised concerns regard shed. The neighbour has construction material of wishes for the shed wall setback to be constructe materials.  Submission 2 - Support  Assessment of Applicat Is the land referred in the Are there any Contribution Are there any compliance R Codes Are R Codes applicable? Design Element Front Setback Sides Setback Rear Setback Secondary Street Setback	ing the size and s concerns reg. It the shed (color is within the 1m ed from fire retained from fire retained from fire retained in the sapplicable?  I ion Heritage Inventors applicable?  I issues in relationed in the sapplicable is sues in relationed in the sapplicable in the sapplicable is sues in the sapplicable in the sapplicable in the sapplicable is sues in the sapplicable in	arding the rbond) and a side and rear ardant ardant ary?	The commersubmitter rawhich are submitter of variations. Constructed matter is not The applicanthe neighboustorage only address is not the similar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage.  It should be resimilar distartion of this applicanthe neighboustorage only address. Noted.	ment  Ints of the neightises concerns compliant through the compliant through the compliant through the compliant of the comp	with aspects of this proposary ugh the planning framework lives the proposed setback requirement for sheds to be requirement for sheds to be reduced and materials, therefore, this reduced and the concerns raised by reduced that the shed use is for or no threat of fire. The site prone area.  The disproposed to be setback and shed being replaced as particularly No √No √No √No comment ired for this development

Outdoor Living Area	- m²		m²	Not Required
Open Space Requirement	50%		>50%	complies
Upgrade Landscaping	☐ Req	uired	√ Not Required	
Overlooking	☐ Yes		√ No	
Street surveillance	☐ Yes		√ No	
Street Walls and Fences	☐ Yes		√ No	
Overshadowing	☐ Yes		√ No	
Other Variations	☐ Yes		√ No	
Officer's Comments agains performance criteria	Compliant Compliant			
<b>Development Standards (S</b>				
Are the development Standa	rds applicable?	□Y€	es	√ No
Clause 67				
In the opinion of the officer, Provisions of the Scheme?	would approval of	of the plannir	ng consent be appr	opriate under Clause 67 of the Deemed
Officer Comment Ye	s			
In the opinion of the officer				
<ul> <li>i. Are utility services adequate for the develor</li> </ul>	available and pment?	Yes		
ii. Has adequate provision		N/A – no rei	mnant vegetation or	n the site
the landscaping and p trees or other vegetation				
iii. Has adequate provision		N/A		
access for the develop	ment or facilities			
by disabled persons?				
iv. Is development likely to		No		
to the existing and like of the neighbourhood?	ly future amenity			
v. Is the development like	ly to comply with	N/A		
AS3959 at the building				
Other Comments				
Any further comments in rela	ation to the applica	tion?		
	onditional approva		ed	

### OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That the Coordinator Planning Services Grants Planning Consent under Delegated Authority Instrument No. 34 pursuant to Clause 68(2) of the Deemed Provisions of Local Planning Scheme No. 1 for the proposed Single Dwelling (Outbuilding) at 19 (213) Villers Street, Cowaramup subject to compliance with the following conditions:

#### CONDITIONS

1. The development is to be carried out in compliance with the plans and documentation listed below and endorsed with Council's stamp, except where amended by other conditions of this consent.

|--|

- 2. If the development, the subject of this approval, is not substantially commenced within two (2) years from the date of this letter, the approval shall lapse and be of no further effect. Where an approval has lapsed, development is prohibited without further approval being obtained.
- 3. The outbuilding shall be used solely for purposes incidental and ancillary to the authorised use of the land.
- 4. The outbuilding shall not be used for human habitation.
- 5. At all times, all stormwater and drainage run-off from the development shall be detained within the lot boundaries and managed to predevelopment flow regimes and/or disposed offsite by an approved connection to the Shire's drainage system in accordance with the Shire of Augusta Margaret River's Standards & Specifications.

### **ADVICE NOTES**

- a) You are advised of the need to comply with the requirements of the following other legislation:
  - (i) This is not a Building Permit. A Building Permit must be issued by the relevant Permit Authority before any work commences on site as per the *Building Act 2011*;
  - (ii) Health (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1911 and Department requirements in respect to the development and use of the premises; and
  - (iii) The Bush Fires Act 1954 as amended, Section 33(3), Annual Bush Fires Notice applies to this property.